Unexpected Formation and Crystal Structure of a Spiro[indene-1,7'(6'H)-pyrrolo[3,4-*b*]pyridin]-5'-one

Abood A. Bahajaj, Gregory J. Hitchings, Madeleine H. Moore and John M. Vernon* Department of Chemistry, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD, UK

Dehydration of 6,7-dihydro-7-hydroxy-6-(α -methylbenzyl)-7-phenylpyrrolo[3,4-*b*]pyridin-5-one **4b** on treatment with acid is accompanied by rearrangement, first to 6,7-dihydro-7-phenyl-7-[(*E*)-2-phenylethenyl]pyrrolo[3,4-*b*]pyridin-5-one **10** and then to 2,3-dihydro-3-phenylspiro[indene-1,7'(6'H)-pyrrolo[3,4-*b*]pyridin]-5'-ones **9a**, **b**. Crystal structures of two rearranged products **9a** and **10** are reported.

The stereoselectivity of N-acyl iminium ion cyclisations is usually attributed to kinetic control, the result of stereoelectronic influences in the transition state.¹ Of particular relevance to our own work are studies by Maryanoff and coworkers,² in which the diastereoselectivity of tricyclic products 2 formed from hydroxy lactams 1a or lactam ethers 1b in polyphosphoric acid (PPA) has been interpreted in terms of the steric requirements of the substituent \mathbb{R}^1 (Scheme 1). The



cyclodehydration of hydroxy lactams 3a, b in PPA gives the fused tetracyclic products 5a, b.^{3,4} These 5-endo-trig cyclisations involving the N-benzyl group of an intermediate N-acyl



iminium ion are achieved only when an aryl group is present on the iminium carbon atom. For cyclodehydration of the $N-\alpha$ methylbenzyl compounds **4a**, **b**, it seemed that the corresponding products **6a**, **b** might be more easily formed with the Me, Ph groups *cis* rather than *trans*, because in the latter case the methyl group must lie on the more crowded side of the 5,5bicyclic system. In attempting to test this prediction, we observed an unexpected rearrangement resulting in the formation of novel spiro structures.

Results and Discussion

Hydroxy lactam **4b** was the major product of phenyl Grignard addition to the pyridine-2,3-dicarboximide 7; ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed that two diastereoisomers of **4b** were present in similar amounts. A by-product, the regioisomeric hydroxy lactam **8**, was recognised by the chemical shift of the



resonance for C-7a (δ 151.9) in comparison with the more deshielded C-7a resonance in **4b** (δ 165.0 or 165.8, the other being due to C-5 of the carbonyl group).⁴

Heating the hydroxy lactam 4b in PPA at 100-125 °C resulted in the formation of two products (3:1 ratio, 58% yield), both C₂₁H₁₆N₂O, consistent with our expectation of cyclisation to structure 6b. That these are two diastereoisomers was shown by the very close similarities between them in respect to all significant features of IR, NMR and mass spectra. The same two products were obtained in the same ratio starting from a single diastereoisomer of 4b or from a mixture of its diastereoisomers, as expected if the first step involves formation of a planar N-acyl iminium ion intermediate. However, the absence of a methyl group (¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra) and the presence of NH (IR and ¹H NMR spectra) attached to CO (IR and ¹³C NMR spectra) clearly rule out structure 6b. The ¹H NMR spectra at 300 MHz include an ABX pattern, for which the coupling constants [JAB 13.5 (13.2), JAX 7.3 (7.6), JBX 9.8 (9.4) Hz for the major (minor) compounds, respectively] are appropriate to CH_2CH in a five-membered ring. These assignments were confirmed by appropriate selective NMR decoupling experiments and by a 2-D NOESY spectrum. The corresponding ¹³C NMR signals were present at δ 48.4 (47.9) and 50.1 (48.7) for CH₂CH, respectively, and a quaternary carbon resonance at δ 73.0 (71.6).

As several structures could be considered to account for this feature and for the other spectroscopic evidence, the problem was solved by X-ray crystallography which proved the spiro



lactam structure **9a** for the major product (Fig. 1). The crystal structure of **9a** contains an unusually elongated unit cell, in which enantiomeric pairs of molecules are held together by C=O···H-N hydrogen bonds (N-O interatomic distance 2.84 Å). The minor diastereoisomer is therefore **9b**.



Fig. 1 ORTEP drawing of the structure of compound 9a with crystallographic numbering scheme (hydrogen atoms omitted)



Fig. 2 ORTEP drawing of the structure of compound 10 with crystallographic numbering scheme (hydrogen atoms omitted)

Heating the same hydroxy lactam **4b** in refluxing trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) gave a third product, isomeric with **9a**, **b**, which was converted into **9a**, **b** in the same 3:1 ratio by heating in PPA, as for **4b**. This new product also contains the NHCO grouping (IR and NMR absorptions) and a *trans*-disubstituted alkene ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.78 and 6.92, two doublets, J 16.1 Hz), observations which suggested structure **10**. A 2-D ¹H-¹³C NMR correlation spectrum showed that the ¹H resonance at higher field belonged with the ¹³C resonance at δ 130.3 and the ¹H resonance at lower field with the ¹³C resonance at δ 128.8, which is consistent with a β -substituted styrene.⁵ Structure **10** was also confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2). Recognition of **10** as an intermediate allows us to account for the formation of **9a**, **b** in terms of the mechanism shown in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2 (denotes ¹³C label)

Isotopic labelling experiments provided additional information concerning the nature of the rearrangement involved in the formation of 10 and 9. Compound 4b containing ¹³C at the benzylic *N*-CH position was prepared in three steps starting from ¹³CO-labelled acetophenone. Samples of 9a, b were then prepared, in a single step from 4b in PPA and in two steps *via* 10. In both cases, the ¹³C label was located only at the PhCH carbon in both 9a and 9b, as shown in Scheme 2. In the styrene derivative 10, the ¹³C NMR signal at δ 130.3 was enhanced due to the ¹³C enrichment, and the ¹H NMR doublet at δ 6.78 showed satellite lines due to ¹³C–¹H coupling, proving that the labelled alkene carbon is that attached to the phenyl group.

It follows that the methyl group in compound 4b is the source of the ring CH_2 group in 9a, b, which we have also confirmed by deuterium-labelling experiments. No migration of a phenyl group is involved. And in contrast to the reactions 3a, $b \rightarrow 5a$, b, where the *N*-benzyl group is involved in the cyclisation, it is the 7-phenyl group in 4b which becomes incorporated into the spiro indene structure 9. Other aspects of this reaction are the subject of further investigation.

Experimental

IR Spectra were recorded for Nujol mulls and calibrated with polystyrene (Pye Unicam SP3-200). ¹H NMR Spectra were recorded at 90 (JEOL-JNM-FX90Q) or 300 MHz (Bruker MSL300) and ¹³C NMR spectra at 22.5 or 75 MHz (on the same instruments) for solutions in [²H]chloroform with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. J Values are given in Hz. Mass spectra were obtained by electron impact at 70 eV (Kratos MS30 and VG Autospec). Chromatography was performed on MN-silica gel 60. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran (THF) were dried before use. Light petroleum refers to the fraction, b.p. 40–60 °C.

N-α-Methylbenzylpyridine-2,3-dicarboximide 7 was prepared by the method described in ref. 4 from pyridine-2,3dicarboxylic acid and racemic α-methylbenzylamine; m.p. 90.5-92 °C (from toluene-light petroleum); v_{max}/cm^{-1} 1740 and 1715 (CO) (Found: M⁺ 252.089 84. Calc. for C₁₅H₁₂-N₂O₂: M⁺, 252.089 87). Another sample prepared from (S)-(-)-α-methylbenzylamine had m.p. 119-120 °C (from ethanol) but identical IR, NMR and mass spectra.

6,7-Dihydro-7-hydroxy-6-(α -methylbenzyl)-7-phenylpyrrolo-[3,4-b] pyridin-5-one 4b.--The Grignard reagent was prepared from bromobenzene (2.5 g, 16 mmol) and magnesium (0.39 g) in THF (25 cm³). This solution was cooled in ice and stirred during rapid addition of the N- α -methylbenzyl imide 7 (1.0 g, 4.0 mmol) in THF (25 ml) and for a further 3 h. The mixture was poured into saturated aqueous ammonium chloride (200 cm³) and the product extracted into chloroform $(3 \times 20 \text{ cm}^3)$. The combined extracts were washed with water, dried $(MgSO_4)$, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was chromatographed on silica eluting with chloroform-ethyl acetate (4: 1 v/v) to give the hydroxy lactam 4b as a ca. 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers (1.00 g, 76%) (from toluene-light petroleum). Fractional crystallisation from toluene-light petroleum achieved partial separation and gave material, m.p. 165–167 °C; v_{max}/cm^{-1} 3350 (OH) and 1690 (CO); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.65 and 1.79 (3 H, 2 d, J 7.1, Me of two diastereoisomers), 4.4-4.9 (1 H, 2 overlapping q, methine CH), 7.09-7.60 (12 H, m, phenyl, OH and 3-H), 7.97 (1 H, dd, 4-H) and 8.20 (1 H, dd, 2-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 18.4 and 19.3 (2 q, Me of two diastereoisomers), 52.2 (d, methine CH), 91.2 and 92.0 (2 s, C-7 of two diastereoisomers), 124.4, 125.7, 126.3, 127.2, 127.8, 128.2, 128.7, 128.9, 131.9, 137.2, 141.5, 142.2, 152.3, 165.0 and 165.8; m/z 315 (M – Me, 1%), 210 (36), 120 (100), 105 (26) and 77 (24).

In a subsequent experiment, product separation by column chromatography gave in early fractions 5,6-dihydro-5-hydroxy-6-(α -methylbenzyl)-5-phenylpyrrolo[3,4-*b*]pyridin-7-one **8** (61 mg, 4.6%), m.p. 110–112 °C (from toluene–light petroleum); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.72 (3 H, overlapping d, J 7.2, Me of two diastereoisomers), 2.35 (1 H, s, OH), 4.55–4.99 (1 H, overlapping q, methine CH for two diastereoisomers), 7.11–7.66 (12 H, m, phenyl, 3-H and 4-H) and 8.29 (1 H, d, J 5.3, 2-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 19.6 (q, Me), 52.6 (d, methine CH), 90.5 (s, C-5), 121.4, 125.3, 125.5, 126.7, 126.9, 127.8, 128.0, 128.2, 128.6, 129.0, 129.7, 134.4, 137.9, 141.8, 149.3, 151.9 and 164.9.

2.3-Dihydro-3-phenylspiro[indene-1,7'(6'H)-pyrrolo[3,4-b]pyridin]-5'-ones 9a, b.--The hydroxy lactam 4b (403 mg, 1.22 mmol) was dissolved in PPA (32 g) and heated at 120-125 °C for 1 h. The hot solution was poured onto crushed ice, thoroughly stirred, and extracted with chloroform (3×20) cm³). The organic extract was washed with water, dried $(MgSO_4)$, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was chromatographed on silica eluting the column with chloroform-ethyl acetate (4:1 v/v) to give the spiro indene 9b (52 mg, 13%), m.p. 215-217 °C (from toluene-light petroleum) (Found: M⁺ 312.126 26. C₂₁H₁₆N₂O requires M^+ , 312.126 26); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.58 (1 H, dd, J9.4 and 13.2) and 3.06 (1 H, dd, J7.6 and 13.2, CH₂), 4.99 (1 H, overlapping dd, 3-H), 6.96-7.44 (11 H, m, phenyl, 3'-H and NH), 8.12 (1 H, dd, J 1.5 and 7.6, 4'-H) and 8.65 (1 H, dd, J 1.5 and 4.5, 2'-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 47.9 (t, C-2), 48.7 (d, C-3), 71.6 (s, spiro C), 122.6, 123.4, 126.0, 126.9, 127.6, 128.3, 128.8, 129.3, 132.3, 141.9, 146.9, 153.5, 168.3 and 170.3; m/z 312 (M⁺, 100%), 297 (87), 284 (35), 91 (48), 84 (38) and 49 (55).

Further elution gave the spiro indene **9a** (163 mg, 43%), m.p. 209–211 °C (from toluene–light petroleum) (Found: C, 80.6; H, 5.1; N, 8.9. $C_{21}H_{16}N_2O$ requires C, 80.7; H, 5.2; N, 9.0%); v_{max}/cm^{-1} 3200w (NH) and 1720s (CO); δ_H 2.75 (1 H, dd, *J* 7.3 and 13.5) and 3.09 (1 H, dd, *J* 9.8 and 13.5, CH₂), 4.76 (1 H, overlapping dd, 3-H), 6.67–7.44 (10 H, m, phenyl and 3'-H), 7.94 (1 H, s, NH), 8.15 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.5 and 7.6, 4'-H) and 8.77 (1 H, dd, *J* 1.5 and 4.7, 2'-H); δ_C 48.4 (t, C-2), 50.1 (d, C-3), 73.0 (s, spiro C), 124.1, 126.1, 126.2, 127.6, 128.5, 129.1, 129.3, 130.0, 132.5, 142.5, 143.9, 148.6, 154.2, 168.5 and 169.4; *m/z* 312 (M⁺, 100%), 297 (39), 221 (71) and 91 (48).

6,7-Dihydro-7-phenyl-7-[(E)-2-phenylethenyl]pyrrolo[3,4-b]pyridin-5-one 10.--The hydroxy lactam 4b (1.0 g) was heated under reflux in TFA (25 cm³) for 72 h. The solution was cooled and poured into saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (200 cm³); it was then extracted with chloroform (3×15 cm³), the extract was dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to dryness. The residue was chromatographed on silica eluting with chloroform-ethyl acetate (4:1 v/v) to afford the styrene derivative 10 (415 mg, 44%, and a further 205 mg containing some unchanged 4b), m.p. 227-228 °C (from toluene-light petroleum) (Found: N, 9.0. $C_{21}H_{16}N_2O$ requires N, 9.0%); $v_{max}(CHCl_3)/cm^{-1}$ 3200w (NH) and 1710s (CO); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.78 and 6.93 (each 1 H, d, J 16.1, alkene), 7.04-7.54 (11 H, m, phenyl and 3-H), 7.86 (1 H, br s, NH), 8.18 (1 H, dd, J 1.5 and 7.6, 4-H) and 8.72 (1 H, dd, J 1.5 and 4.7, 2-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 69.1 (s, C-7), 123.5, 124.2, 126.6, 126.8, 128.3, 128.6, 128.9, 129.2, 130.3, 132.7, 136.1, 139.7, 153.2, 168.3 and 168.9; m/z 312 (M⁺, 100%), 210 (21), 120 (42), 105 (22) and 92 (32).

This product 10 (200 mg) was heated in PPA (17 g) at 125 °C for 1 h. Work-up as before, followed by chromatography afforded the diastereoisomeric spiro lactams, 9a (103 mg, 51.5%) and 9b (32 mg, 16%).

¹³C-Labelling Experiments.—Acetophenone (5.0 g) containing 5.0% enrichment of ¹³CO was used in a Leuckart reaction ⁶ with ammonium formate to give α -methylbenzylamine (2.0 g, 40%), b.p. 80–81 °C/18 mmHg. This amine was then used to prepare ¹³C-labelled samples of **7**, **8**, **9a**, **b** (59% yield, the same 1:3 ratio as before) and **10** by the procedures already described; ¹³C-labelled **10** was also converted into **9a**, **b** (73%) by heating in PPA. The enhanced ¹³C resonances were as follows: **8** δ 52.2, **9a** δ 50.1, **9b** δ 48.7 and **10** δ 130.3.

Table 1 Bond lengths (Å) for non-hydrogen atoms in 9a^{a,b}

O(5)-C(5) N(1)-C(2)	1.243(5) 1.333(6)	C(9)-C(10) C(9)-C(17)	1.529(6) 1.512(6)
N(1)-C(7a)	1.327(5)	C(10)-C(11)	1.377(6)
N(6)-C(5)	1.325(5)	C(10)-C(15)	1.375(6)
N(6)-C(7)	1.469(5)	C(11)-C(12)	1.401(6)
C(2)-C(3)	1.397(7)	C(12)-C(13)	1.365(8)
C(3)-C(4)	1.383(7)	C(13)-C(14)	1.361(7)
C(4)-C(4a)	1.378(6)	C(14)-C(15)	1.383(6)
C(4a)-C(5)	1.483(6)	C(16)-C(17)	1.385(5)
C(4a)-C(7a)	1.375(5)	C(16)-C(21)	1.389(6)
C(7) - C(7a)	1.505(6)	C(17)-C(18)	1.389(6)
C(7)-C(8)	1.553(6)	C(18)-C(19)	1.374(6)
C(7) - C(16)	1.518(6)	C(19)-C(20)	1.378(6)
C(8)-C(9)	1.536(6)	C(20)-C(21)	1.394(6)

^a Estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure are given in parentheses. ^b Numbering as in Fig. 1.

Table 2	Bond	lengths	(Å)) for	non-hydrogen	atoms	in	10 ^{<i>a</i>,1}	b
---------	------	---------	-----	-------	--------------	-------	----	--------------------------	---

O(5)-C(5)	1.232(2)	C(9)-C(10)	1.467(3)
N(1)-C(2)	1.345(3)	C(10)-C(11)	1.366(3)
N(1)-C(7a)	1.330(2)	C(10)-C(15)	1.368(3)
N(6)-C(5)	1.343(2)	C(11)-C(12)	1.389(4)
N(6)-C(7)	1.466(2)	C(12)-C(13)	1.348(4)
C(2) - C(3)	1.372(3)	C(13)-C(14)	1.336(4)
C(3)-C(4)	1.376(3)	C(14)-C(15)	1.380(4)
C(4)-C(4a)	1.380(3)	C(16)-C(17)	1.373(3)
C(4a)-C(5)	1.482(2)	C(16)-C(23)	1.379(3)
C(4a)-C(7a)	1.374(2)	C(17)-C(18)	1.389(3)
C(7)-C(7a)	1.524(2)	C(18)-C(19)	1.351(4)
C(7)-C(8)	1.510(3)	C(19)-C(20)	1.353(4)
C(7)-C(16)	1.535(3)	C(20)-C(23)	1.384(3)
C(8)-C(9)	1.309(3)		

^a Estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure are given in parentheses. ^b Numbering as in Fig. 2.

Crystal Data for Spiro Indene **9a**.—C₂₁H₁₆N₂O, M = 312.37. Monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14), a = 6.721(6), b = 33.896(4), c = 7.697(1) Å, $\beta = 108.89(3)^\circ$, V = 1659(1) Å³, F(000) = 656.00, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.78 cm⁻¹, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.250$ g cm⁻³.

Crystal Data for Styrene Derivative $10.-C_{21}H_{16}N_2O$, M = 312.37. Triclinic, space group PT (No. 2), a = 10.171(1), $b = 10.374(1), c = 8.4570(7) \text{ Å}, \alpha = 92.066(10), \beta = 99.120(8)$, $\gamma = 106.17(1)^\circ$, $V = 843.2(2) \text{ Å}^3$, F(000) = 328.00, μ (Mo-K α) = 0.77 cm⁻¹, Z = 2, $D_c = 1.23$ g cm⁻³.

Structure Determination .--- Colourless single crystals of spiro indene 9a, $0.5 \times 0.25 \times 0.1$ mm, and styrene derivative 10, $0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.35$ mm, mounted on glass fibres were used for Xray data collection at 23 \pm 1 °C. Intensity measurements were collected on a Rigaku AFC6S four-circle diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å. Accurate unit cell dimensions and an orientation matrix for data collection were obtained from least-squares refinement of the values of 25 centred reflections for 9a, or 24 for 10, in the range $25 < 2\theta < 30^{\circ}$. Intensities of 3226 reflections were measured for 9a, or 3134 for 10, in the range $5 < 2\theta < 50^{\circ}$ in a ω -2 θ scan mode; of these reflections 2968 were unique ($R_{int} =$ 0.059) for 9a, or 2955 ($R_{int} = 0.015$) for 10; equivalent reflections were merged. The measurement of three standard reflections every 150 reflections showed no sign of decay, and azimuthal scans of several reflections indicated no need for absorption corrections. Lorentz and polarisation corrections were applied in the usual way, and small corrections for secondary extinction were applied (coefficient $1.342.84 \times 10^{-6}$ for **9a**, 1.759 23 $\times 10^{-6}$ for **10**).

The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR88)⁷ and

expanded using Fourier techniques.⁸ Anisotropic thermal parameters were refined for all non-hydrogen atoms. All hydrogen atoms were located by a difference-Fourier map and included but not refined. The final cycle of full matrix leastsquares refinement* used 1678 independent reflections for 9a, or 2346 for 10, with $I > 3\sigma(I)$ and 218 variable parameters and converged with R = 0.062 and $R_w = 0.053$ for 9a, R = 0.041and $R_w = 0.037$ for 10. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight † was 3.84 for 9a, or 2.98 for 10. σ -Weights were applied, in which the weight assigned to each observation is equal to the reciprocal of the variance of that observation, $1/\sigma^2(F_{obs})$ for F refinement. This weighting scheme is based on counting statistics and included a factor (p = 0.005for 9a, 0.010 for 10) to downweight the intense reflections. Neutral atom scattering factors,⁹ mass attenuation coefficients, and the values for dispersion corrections were taken from appropriate International Tables,¹⁰ anomalous dispersion effects were included in F_{cale} .¹¹ All calculations were performed using the TEXSAN crystallographic software.¹²

Selected bond lengths for 9a and 10a are given in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively. The complete listings of fractional atomic coordinates, bond lengths, bond angles, and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallography Data Centre.[‡]

References

1 P. M. M. Nossin and W. N. Speckamp, Tetrahedron Lett., 1980,

1991; W. N. Speckamp, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1981, 100, 345; D. J. Hart, J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 367, 3576; D. J. Hart and K. Kanai, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 1555; D. J. Hart, in Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives, ed. S. W. Pelletier, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1988, vol. 6, p. 227 and references cited therein; H. Hiemstra and W. N. Speckamp, in The Alkaloids, ed. A. Brossi, Academic Press, New York, 1988, vol. 32, p. 271.

- 2 B. E. Maryanoff and D. F. McComsey, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1979, 3797; B. E. Maryanoff, D. F. McComsey and B. A. Duhl-Emswiler, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 5062; B. E. Maryanoff, D. F. McComsey, H. R. Almond, M. S. Mutter, G. W. Bemis, R. R. Whittle and R. A. Olofson, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 1341.
- 3 M. Winn and H. E. Zaugg, J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 3779.
- 4 G. J. Hitchings and J. M. Vernon, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1990, 1757.
- 5 Cf. M. M. Shemyakin, L. A. Neiman, S. V. Zhukova, Yu. S. Nekrasov, T. J. Pehk and E. J. Lipmaa, *Tetrahedron*, 1971, **27**, 2811; E. Breitmaier and W. Volter, ¹³C-NMR Spectroscopy, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 2nd edn., 1978, p. 212.
- 6 B. S. Furniss, A. J. Hannaford, V. Rogers, P. W. G. Smith and A. R. Tatchell, Vogel's Textbook of Practical Organic Chemistry, Longman, London, 4th edn., 1978, p. 568. 7 M. C. Burla, M. Camalli, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, G. Polidori,
- R. Spagna and D. Viterbo, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1989, 22, 389.
- 8 P. T. Beurskens, G. Admiraal, G. Beurskens, W. P. Bosman, S. Garcia-Granda, R. O. Gould, J. M. M. Smits and C. Smykalla, The DIRDIF program system, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands, 1992.
- 9 D. T. Cromer and J. T. Waber, International Tables for X-ray Crystallography, Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1974, vol. IV, Table 2.2A, p. 72.
- 10 D. C. Creagh and W. J. McAuley, International Tables for Crystallography, ed. A. J. C. Wilson, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1992, vol. C, Table 4.2.6.8, p. 219; D. C. Creagh and J. H. Hubbell, Table 4.2.4.3, p. 200.
- 11 J. A. Ibers and W. C. Hamilton, Acta Crystallogr., 1964, 17, 781.
- 12 TEXSAN: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Molecular Structure Corporation, 1985 and 1992.

Paper 3/07063H Received 29th November 1993 Accepted 25th January 1994

^{*} The function minimised was $\Sigma\omega(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ where $\omega = 1/\sigma^2(F_o) = 4F_o^2/\sigma^2(F_o^2), \sigma^2(F_o^2) = [S^2(C + R^2B) + (pF_o^2)^2]/L_p^2$ and S = scan rate, C = total integrated peak count, R = ratio of scan timeto background counting time, $L_p = Lorentz$ -polarisation factor, **B** = total background count and p = p-factor.

[†] Standard deviation of an observation of unit weight = $\sqrt{\Sigma\omega(|F_0| - |F_c|)^2/(N_0 - N_v)}$ where N_0 = number of observations and N_v = number of variables.

[‡] For details of the deposition scheme, see 'Instructions for Authors', J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1994, issue 1.